High-resolution CFD simulation of flow in glottis using LES

Petr Šidlof^{1,2}; Martin Lasota²

¹Institute of Thermomechanics, Czech Academy of Sciences, Prague, Czech Republic ²Technical University of Liberec, NTI FM, Liberec, Czech Republic

Objectives

- High-resolution CFD simulation of airflow during human phonation
- Simple 3D glottal channel geometry and parametrizable vocal fold motion
- Focus on the fluid dynamics \bullet

Main goal: assess what level of detail is necessary and how much do the fine turbulent structures influence the aeroacoustic sources and voice generation

Introduction

Numerical simulation of the unsteady separated airflow in glottis during phonation is a challenging





issue:

- Airflow most likely laminar in trachea
- Undergoes transition to turbulence within or upstream of the glottis

Numerical modeling

- Laminar model: introduces inaccuracy neglects turbulent momentum transfer
- **Reynolds-Averaged** Navier-Stokes (RANS) models: inappropriate for aero-acoustic simulations – provide only mean flow solution with turbulent fluctuations averaged out
- Direct Numerical Simulation (DNS): currently unfeasible due to enormous computational cost
- \rightarrow The most promising approach seems to be Large Eddy Simulation (LES)

Methods

- simplified 3D model of glottis with forced convergent-divergent motion of vocal folds
- geometry of vocal folds based on M5 model by Scherer et al. [3], ventricular folds modeled according to data by Agarwal et al. [4]



Figure 1. Laminar flow (velocity field and time history)



Figure 7. Velocity field in midsagittal and midcoronal planes (end of the opening phase), showing the pulsating jet formed by vocal fold oscillation and its interaction with supraglottal turbulent structures



Figure 8. Vorticity isolines in midcoronal plane (end of the vocal fold opening phase), showing the shear layer of the glottal jet and coherent vortex structures

Aeroacoustic simulation



unstructured prismatic and block-structured hexahedral meshes capturing the boundary layer, 2 – 20 million elements





Figure 3. Geometry of the computational domain (part near the glottis)

Figure 4. Fully connected blockstructured hexahedral mesh with boundary layer refinement (2.2M elements)

Figure 5. Unstructured prismatic mesh without boundary layer refinement (10M elements)

CFD model: Large eddy simulation with one-equation sub-grid scale model $\frac{\partial \overline{\boldsymbol{u}}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\overline{\boldsymbol{u}} \otimes \overline{\boldsymbol{u}}) = -\frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \overline{p} + \nabla \cdot (2\nu \overline{\boldsymbol{S}} - \boldsymbol{T}),$

 $\nabla \cdot \overline{\boldsymbol{u}} = 0$, where

filtered (large-scale) velocity and pressure $\overline{oldsymbol{u}}$ and $ar{p}$ u and ho $\overline{S} = \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \overline{u} + \nabla \overline{u}^T)$

molecular viscosity and density of air large-scale strain rate tensor

 $T - \frac{1}{3}tr(T)I \approx -2v_{SGS}\overline{S}$ deviatoric part of the sub-grid scale (SGS) stress tensor

 $v_{SGS} = C_K \sqrt{k_{SGS}} \Delta$ SGS viscosity ($C_K = 0.094$.. constant, Δ .. LES filter width) SGS turb. kinetic energy, calculated from transport equation K_{SGS}



Figure 10. Spectrum of the acoustic signal at Mic. 2, showing the fundamental frequency, harmonic freq. up to 400 Hz and formant freq. at 990 Hz and 2400 Hz (geometry corresponding to the vocal tract shape for the vowel /u:/)

Figure 9. Geometry and acoustic mesh – larynx, vocal tract and region with propagation Perfectly Matched Layer (PML) elements Realized by Stefan Zörner [5], [6]



Discussion and conclusions

- LES promising approach for first-principle aeroacoustic simulations of voice
- In some studies [1,2], LES has been already employed. However, a number of open questions still remain
- Subglottal turbulence may significantly affect the flow and acoustic spectra
- SGS model has to resolve properly the boundary layer
- Flow rate waveform simulated by LES is significantly lower than results of a laminar model (due to SGS viscosity)
- The results of aeroacoustic simulations match well the formant frequencies found in human speech

- numerical method: finite volume method with 2nd order discretization schemes (implementation in OpenFOAM 5.0)
- parallel CFD simulation of 20 periods of vocal fold vibration, mesh 2.5M elements, 24 CPU cores: about 4000 core-hours (160 hours wall-time)
- aeroacoustics: hybrid formulation with acoustic perturbation equations [5]

Acknowledgements

The research was supported by the Czech Science Foundation, project "Modelling and measurements of fluid-structure-acoustic 19-04477S interactions in biomechanics of human voice production".

Contact

Petr Šidlof, PhD

associate professor, Technical University of Liberec Studentská 2, 461 17 Liberec 1, Czech Republic petr.sidlof@tul.cz

References

- [1] Mihaescu M., Mylavarapu G., Gutmark E. J., Powell N. B. (2011), Large Eddy Simulation of the pharyngeal airflow associated with Obstructive Sleep Apnea Syndrome at pre and post-surgical treatment, *Journal of Biomechanics* **44**(12), pp. 2221–2228.
- [2] Sadeghi H., Kniesburges S., Kaltenbacher M., Schützenberger A., Döllinger M. (2018), Computational Models of Laryngeal Aerodynamics: Potentials and Numerical Costs, Journal of Voice (in press).
- [3] Scherer R., Shinwari D., Witt K. D., Zhang C., Kucinschi B. et al. (2001), Intraglottal pressure profiles for a symmetric and oblique glottis with a divergence angle of 10 degrees, Journal of the Acoustical Society of America **109**(4), pp. 1616–1630.
- [4] Agarwal M., Scherer R., Hollien H. (2003), The false vocal folds: shape and size in frontal view during phonation based on laminagraphic tracings, Journal of Voice 17(2), pp. 97–113.
- [5] Šidlof P., Zörner S., Hüppe A. (2015), A hybrid approach to computational aeroacoustics of human voice production, Biomechanics and *Modeling in Mechanobiology* **14**(3), pp. 473–488.
- [6] Zörner S., Šidlof P., Hüppe A., Kaltenbacher M. (2016), Flow and Acoustic Effects in the Larynx for Varying Geometries, Acta Acustica united with Acustica 102(2), pp. 257-267.